Malaysia

Malaysia's Evolving Investment Landscape: Rethinking Tax Incentives Through the Regulator's and Investor's Lenses

Malaysia Business Insights



November 2026

© 2026 Malaysia. All rights reserved.

MORE THAN JUST TAX INCENTIVES

Malaysia has spent the past two years reshaping how it positions itself as an investment destination. The introduction of the New Investment Incentive Framework (NIF), the establishment of the Johor–Singapore Special Economic Zone (JS-SEZ), and the impending completion of the Rapid Transit System (RTS) Link between Johor Bahru and Singapore are often viewed as separate policy initiatives. Considered together, however, they represent something much more significant—a broader repositioning of Malaysia's investment strategy.

For decades, countries competed for foreign direct investment primarily by offering generous tax incentives. Corporate tax holidays, investment allowances and preferential tax rates were regarded as key tools in attracting multinational enterprises. While tax incentives remain important, the investment landscape has changed considerably. Investors today evaluate jurisdictions based not only on tax outcomes, but also on infrastructure, access to talent, supply chain resilience, regulatory certainty and long-term economic competitiveness.

Malaysia's recent initiatives reflect this shift. The more meaningful question is therefore no longer whether Malaysia offers attractive tax incentives. Rather, it is whether Malaysia is creating an investment ecosystem capable of attracting and retaining high-quality, long-term investments.

This article examines Malaysia's evolving investment landscape through two complementary perspectives—the regulator's lens and the investor's lens—and considers how the country's investment incentive framework is adapting to an increasingly competitive global environment.

Malaysia's Enduring Investment Strengths

Malaysia's attractiveness as an investment destination extends well beyond its tax incentives.

Strategically located at the heart of ASEAN, Malaysia provides investors with convenient access to one of the world's fastest-growing regional markets. It possesses a mature manufacturing ecosystem, particularly within the electrical and electronics sector, well-developed logistics infrastructure, internationally recognised ports and airports, and an established legal system founded on common law principles. English continues to be widely used in business, reducing one of the practical barriers often encountered by foreign investors.

Malaysia also benefits from a comparatively skilled workforce, competitive operating costs and an extensive network of suppliers that has developed over several decades. Against a backdrop of supply chain diversification and the continued "China Plus One" strategy adopted by many multinational groups, these structural advantages remain highly relevant.

While these fundamentals have remained largely unchanged, Malaysia's approach to attracting investment has evolved significantly.



Photo by Phearak Chamrien

From the Promotion of Investments Act 1986 to the New Investment Incentive Framework

For nearly four decades, Malaysia's investment incentives were principally governed by the Promotion of Investments Act 1986 (PIA). The framework was straightforward. Companies carrying out promoted activities or producing promoted products could apply for Pioneer Status, providing partial or full tax exemption for a specified period, or Investment Tax Allowance, allowing qualifying capital expenditure to be offset against statutory income.

From the **regulator's perspective,**

the framework offered administrative simplicity. Once an investment fell within a prescribed category, approval criteria were generally clear and predictable.

From the **investor's perspective,**

certainty was perhaps the greatest attraction. Once an incentive was approved, the tax treatment was largely known from the outset, allowing businesses to project investment returns with confidence.

However,

investment priorities have changed considerably since the PIA was first introduced.

Governments are no longer seeking investment merely for the purpose of increasing capital inflows. Greater emphasis is now placed on investments that generate technology transfer, research and development, skilled employment, supply chain development, sustainability and long-term economic value.

Equally significant has been the introduction of the OECD Global Minimum Tax (Pillar Two). Multinational enterprise groups with consolidated annual revenues exceeding EUR750 million are generally subject to a minimum effective tax rate of 15%. Consequently, traditional tax holidays may no longer deliver the intended economic benefit for many large multinational groups. Where Malaysia grants a tax exemption below the minimum effective rate, the tax saving may effectively be collected by another jurisdiction through a top-up tax mechanism.

In this environment, conventional tax holidays have become less effective as a policy instrument.



The New Investment Incentive Framework: A Different Philosophy

The New Investment Incentive Framework represents more than a revision of existing incentives. It reflects a fundamental shift in policy philosophy.

Rather than rewarding investments solely because they fall within a promoted activity, the NIF seeks to encourage investments that contribute meaningfully to Malaysia's long-term economic aspirations.

Applications are assessed against the National Investment Aspirations (NIA), which focus on six broad policy objectives:

- increasing economic complexity;
- creating high-value employment;
- strengthening domestic supply chains;
- developing industrial clusters;
- promoting inclusivity; and
- advancing sustainability.

The emphasis has therefore shifted from rewarding investment volume towards rewarding investment quality.



The regulator's perspective

From the Government's standpoint, the NIF provides a more targeted mechanism for directing investment into sectors considered strategically important for Malaysia's future economic development.

Rather than granting incentives based largely on the nature of an activity, incentives are increasingly linked to measurable economic outcomes. Investments that generate higher value-added activities, innovation, local supplier development and sustainable growth receive greater policy support.

The framework is also better aligned with the realities of the post-Pillar Two environment, where substance increasingly matters more than headline tax rates.

The investor's perspective

For investors, the NIF presents both opportunities and challenges.

The framework is considerably more outcome-driven than its predecessor. Incentives are no longer viewed as automatic entitlements but as benefits that require continuing compliance with agreed investment commitments.

This inevitably introduces greater administrative obligations. Investors are expected to demonstrate that performance targets continue to be met, while applications require more comprehensive documentation and evaluation than under the earlier regime.

Some investors may view this as increased compliance burden.

Others may regard it as a more transparent framework that better recognises investments creating genuine long-term economic value.

Ultimately, the preferred framework depends largely upon the nature and scale of the investment itself.

Iskandar Malaysia and the Evolution Towards the Johor-Singapore Special Economic Zone

Iskandar Malaysia has played a significant role in Johor's economic development since its establishment in 2006.

Many of its original tax incentives — including incentives for approved developers and certain withholding tax concessions — have gradually reached the end of their application periods.

Rather than signalling the end of Iskandar Malaysia, these developments suggest a broader evolution.

The Johor-Singapore Special Economic Zone effectively builds upon the foundation established by Iskandar Malaysia while extending its geographical coverage and strengthening cross-border economic integration.

Instead of functioning as a state-focused development corridor, the JS-SEZ positions Johor as part of an integrated cross-border economic ecosystem.

Johor-Singapore Special Economic Zone: A New Investment Model


Photo by Phearak Chamrien

The Johor-Singapore Special Economic Zone represents one of Malaysia's most ambitious investment initiatives in recent years.

The package combines targeted corporate tax incentives, preferential personal income tax treatment for qualifying knowledge workers, investment tax allowances and selected stamp duty incentives for qualifying projects.

More importantly, the incentives are directed towards industries that Malaysia has identified as strategic growth sectors, including advanced manufacturing, artificial intelligence, aerospace, medical technology and global business services.

From the regulator's perspective, this represents a more focused allocation of fiscal resources. Rather than providing broad-based incentives across multiple sectors, the Government seeks to concentrate incentives on investments capable of generating significant long-term economic spillover effects.

From the investor's perspective, the incentives are commercially attractive but intentionally selective. The qualifying conditions, including substantial investment thresholds, indicate that the JS-SEZ is principally designed for large-scale, high-value investments rather than smaller projects.

Whether this represents an advantage or limitation depends largely upon the investor's profile and strategic objectives.

The RTS Link: Infrastructure Supporting Investment

Infrastructure and tax incentives should not be viewed independently.

Even the most attractive incentive package is unlikely to achieve its intended objectives if businesses and people cannot move efficiently.

The RTS Link is therefore much more than a transportation project.

By significantly reducing travel time between Johor Bahru and Singapore, the RTS strengthens labour mobility, facilitates business travel and enhances cross-border commercial integration.

For Malaysia,

this improves Johor's attractiveness as a location for businesses seeking access to Singapore while benefiting from lower operating costs.

For Singapore,

the RTS supports greater regional integration, even while acknowledging that some business activities may gradually shift across the border where commercial conditions are more competitive.

Viewed together with the JS-SEZ, the RTS demonstrates that infrastructure and tax incentives are intended to operate as complementary components of a broader investment strategy.

Malaysia's Regional Economic Corridors

Malaysia's regional development strategy extends beyond Johor.

- The **Northern Corridor Economic Region (NCER)** continues to benefit from its strong manufacturing and semiconductor ecosystem centred around Penang and Kulim.
- The **East Coast Economic Region (ECER)** focuses on petrochemicals, logistics and industrial development supported by Kuantan Port and related infrastructure.
- The **Sarawak Corridor of Renewable Energy (SCORE)** leverages Sarawak's abundant hydropower resources to attract energy-intensive industries.
- The **Sabah Development Corridor (SDC)** continues to promote investments in agriculture, tourism, manufacturing and resource-based industries.

Each corridor reflects the comparative strengths of its respective region. Nevertheless, their relative success has varied depending on existing industrial ecosystems, infrastructure and investor demand.



Reading Malaysia's Investment Strategy Through Two Lenses

Viewed through the **regulator's lens**, Malaysia's recent reforms demonstrate a clear policy shift.

The emphasis is no longer simply on attracting more investment. Rather, it is on attracting investments that contribute meaningfully to productivity, innovation, supply chain development and sustainable economic growth.

Viewed through the **investor's lens**, however, investment decisions continue to be driven by commercial fundamentals. Tax incentives remain important, but they are seldom decisive on their own. Investors also consider infrastructure, workforce quality, regulatory certainty, political stability, ease of doing business and long-term competitiveness.

Malaysia's challenge is therefore not merely to offer attractive tax incentives, but to ensure that its broader investment ecosystem remains competitive within an increasingly dynamic regional environment.

Conclusion

Malaysia's investment strategy is clearly evolving.

The transition from the Promotion of Investments Act 1986 to the New Investment Incentive Framework reflects a move away from broad-based tax concessions towards incentives linked to economic outcomes and long-term value creation. The Johor-Singapore Special Economic Zone and the RTS Link further reinforce this strategy by combining targeted fiscal incentives with cross-border infrastructure and economic integration.

Ultimately, however, tax incentives alone rarely determine investment decisions.

Successful investment destinations combine competitive tax policies with strong institutions, skilled talent, efficient infrastructure and regulatory certainty.

In that respect, Malaysia's recent reforms should be viewed not simply as changes to its incentive regime, but as part of a broader effort to strengthen its long-term competitiveness within ASEAN and the wider global economy.

Whether this strategy achieves its intended objectives will depend less on the generosity of the incentives offered and more on their consistent implementation, the quality of the investment ecosystem they support, and Malaysia's ability to deliver sustainable value for investors over the long term.



Visuals designed by Freepik

About the Author

Dr. Daniel Woo, is a **Director, Tax** of **CLA Malaysia**. He advises multinational corporations, listed companies and privately owned businesses on Malaysian and international taxation, cross border transactions and investment structuring.

This article provides a high-level overview of Malaysia's evolving investment incentive landscape. It is intended for general information purposes only and does not constitute tax or legal advice. The views expressed here are his own personal views. He can be contacted at danielwoo@my.cla-seasia.com.

References

Legislation

- Income Tax Act 1967 (Malaysia).
- Promotion of Investments Act 1986 (Malaysia).

Government and Private Publications

- InvestMalaysia. New Investment Incentive Framework (NIF).
- MIDA. Malaysia Records Historic High RM378.5 billion in Investments, with 14.9% Year-on-Year Growth Generating More Than 207,000 Jobs in 2024 (25 February 2025).
- MIDA. New Investment Incentive Framework (NIF).
- MIDA. Economic Corridors – SCORE.
- MIDA. Economic Corridors – Sabah Development Corridor (SDC).
- Ministry of Finance Malaysia. JS-SEZ Incentive Package to Drive High-Value Investments into Johor (Press Release, 8 January 2025).
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs Singapore. State Visit by President Tharman Shanmugaratnam to Malaysia, 12–15 July 2026.
- The Edge Malaysia, "Special economic zone, RTS Link to align Singapore's economic interests with Malaysia — President Tharman" (15 July 2026), direct quote on Singapore businesses losing out as a trade-off: https://theedgemalaysia.com/node/810929
- MRT Corp Malaysia. Johor Bahru–Singapore RTS Link Project.
- Singapore Land Transport Authority. Johor Bahru–Singapore RTS Link Project.

International Publications

- Institute for Management Development (IMD). World Competitiveness Ranking 2025.
- OECD. Global Anti-Base Erosion Model Rules (Pillar Two): Inclusive Framework on BEPS (2021).
- OECD. Administrative Guidance on the Global Anti-Base Erosion Model Rules (Pillar Two).

Official News Releases

- Bernama. ECERDC Secures RM75 Billion Committed Investments and Embarks on ECER Master Plan 3.0 (20 January 2026).
- Bernama. NCER Records RM78.95 Billion Realised Investments in 2025 (23 February 2026).

Contact us

Tax Specialists



Dr. Daniel Woo
Director, Tax
Malaysia
danielwoo@my.cla-seasia.com



Edwin Leow
Co- Advisory Leader
Director, **Head of Tax**
edwinleow@sg.cla-seasia.com

Our Malaysia Leadership Team



Michael Leng
Director, Risk Advisory
Malaysia
michaelleng@my.cla-seasia.com



Sandy Hock
Director, Assurance
Deputy Country Leader, Malaysia
sandyhock@sg.cla-seasia.com

connect@my.cla-seasia.com
cla-seasia.com

Malaysia

We have taken great care to ensure the accuracy of this newsletter. However, the newsletter is written in general terms, and you are strongly recommended to seek specific advice before taking any action based on the information it contains. No responsibility can be taken for any loss arising from action taken or refrained from on the basis of this publication.
© 2026 CLA Malaysia. All rights reserved.

CLA Southeast Asia is a trusted public accounting and Asia-focused business advisory firm. As an independent network member of CLA Global Limited (CLA Global), a leading global organization comprising independent accounting and advisory firms, CLA Southeast Asia represents the network in Singapore, Southeast Asia, and China, serving as a key firm for CLA Global in Asia. Led by professionals with over 30 years of experience, CLA Southeast Asia offers assurance, taxation, accounting, and a wide range of advisory services from locations in Singapore, China, and Malaysia.

We come to work every day with a singular purpose: to create winning opportunities for our People, our Clients, and our Communities.

CLA Malaysia is an independent network member of CLA Global. See claglobal.com/legal-disclaimer.