



CLA Global TS

The Growth Strategist For Asia

Succession Planning: Governance Is What Makes It Stick - Governance and Controls as the Operating System of Continuity

Private Wealth Series - Part 4

July 2026

© 2026 CLA Global TS. All rights reserved.

By the time families reach the final stage of succession planning, the conversation often sounds reassuring:

- “We’ve identified the next leader.”
- “We’ve outlined the ownership transition.”
- “We broadly agree on the future direction.”

And yet, this is precisely the point at which many succession plans quietly begin to fail. Not because the plan was poorly designed, but because it was never / barely governed. Succession plans articulate intent.

Governance and controls determine whether that intent survives real world pressure.

From Plans to Behaviour

In [earlier parts of this series](#), we examined why succession plans fail less from poor technical execution than from unclear intent and why leadership readiness is often over assumed coupled with why ownership transfers alone do not create continuity of control. Governance connects all three. It translates aspiration into repeatable decision-making. It institutionalises clarity when personalities, relationships and circumstances evolve. Without governance, succession remains conditional.

With governance, it becomes durable.

What Governance Really Means (and What It Does Not)

In closely-held businesses and private wealth structures, governance is often mistaken for:

- ▶ bureaucracy,
- ▶ unnecessary formality, or
- ▶ a surrender of founder authority.

In practice, effective governance does the opposite.

It preserves authority by clarifying it and protects relationships by removing ambiguity.

Governance is not about controlling outcomes. It is about defining: -

- ▶ who decides,
- ▶ in what capacity,
- ▶ on what basis, and
- ▶ what happens when agreement breaks down.

At its core, governance answers a question most founders avoid until it is too late:

“When no single person can (or should) decide everything, how do decisions still get made?”



The Three Governance Failures That Derail Succession

1. Role confusion: family, ownership and management

Most succession disputes are not strategy disputes. They are role disputes.

Admittedly, family members could argue because they disagree on direction(s), but they often do so under unclear circumstances and not knowing whether they are speaking as:

- ▶ family members,
- ▶ shareholders or beneficiaries,
- ▶ directors or trustees, or
- ▶ executives or employees.

When those roles are not clearly compartmentalised, every business disagreement becomes personal and every family conversation becomes political.

Governance introduces role discipline which is the single most effective way to reduce conflict without suppressing dialogue.

2. Trust substituting for process

Many families tend to say:

“We don’t need formal rules because we trust each other.”

Trust matters. But trust does not scale across:

- ▶ generations,
- ▶ branches of a family,
- ▶ multiple operating businesses, or
- ▶ diversified asset pools.

Relying on trust alone places too much structural load on relationships. Particularly when stress, money and permanence intersect. Good and proper governance does not signal mistrust. It acknowledges that trust should be supported by process and asked to replace it.

3. Governance that exists only on paper

Some families have constitutions, councils or boards. However, in certain circumstances, they merely perform a ceremonial function rather than operationally.

Common warning signs include:

- ▶ meetings without real decision rights,
- ▶ independent members who cannot challenge meaningfully,
- ▶ policies that exist but are rarely followed.



Governance Is a System, Not a Set of Documents

In practice, effective succession governance operates across **four interconnected layers**:

- 1. Family Governance**

Values, purpose, participation rules, conflict resolution.

- 2. Ownership Governance**

Economic rights, control rights, liquidity mechanisms, alignment tools.

- 3. Enterprise Governance**

Board oversight, strategy, risk, performance, leadership continuity

- 4. Interface Governance**

How individuals navigate overlapping roles across these layers.

Succession breakdowns occur when these layers evolve independently or worse, in contradiction to one another.



Governance and Controls Checklist

A practical diagnostic for founders and families

If you cannot confidently answer “yes” to most of the following, you are not just facing a governance gap but also probably carrying a continuity risk.

A. Decision rights and accountability

- ☐ Clear reserved matters vs delegated authorities
- ☐ Separation between ownership decisions and management decisions
- ☐ Defined escalation and deadlock-resolution mechanisms
- ☐ Independent challenge embedded for critical decisions

B. Board and family governance architecture

- ☐ A functioning board or advisory board with genuine oversight
- ☐ Succession treated as a recurring agenda item (not a one-off discussion)
- ☐ A forum to resolve family issues outside management and business settings
- ☐ Independent voices empowered to protect process over personalities

C. Succession mechanics

- ☐ A defined leadership profile aligned to future needs
- ☐ Planned and emergency succession pathways documented
- ☐ Multi-year readiness milestones for successors
- ☐ Structured communication plan for stakeholders
- ☐ Objective evaluation and development of next-generation leaders

D. Controls and risk guardrails

- ☐ Authority limits and approval thresholds
- ☐ Financial controls and signatory discipline
- ☐ Related-party transaction oversight
- ☐ Conflict-of-interest declarations
- ☐ Risk appetite clearly articulated and reviewed
- ☐ Regular reporting and independent review of controls

E. Ownership and liquidity governance

- ☐ Dividend and reinvestment policies aligned with strategy
- ☐ Liquidity mechanisms to avoid forced exits
- ☐ Entry and exit rules for family participation
- ☐ Funding plans for tax and transition related obligations

F. Behavioural governance

- ☐ Values translated into expected behaviours
- ☐ Agreed dispute-resolution pathways
- ☐ Structures to maintain alignment as circumstances change

Indicative interpretation

Low score: founder dependent governance

Moderate score: governance exists but may not hold under stress

High score: governance is institutionalised, not personality driven



Image by wirestock on Magnific

Call to Action

The most effective time to design governance is **before** it is needed.

We regularly see far greater optionality and far less emotional cost when governance and controls are established while:

- ▶ founders still have capacity,
- ▶ relationships are intact, and
- ▶ decisions can be made rationally.

If you are approaching a leadership transition, ownership re alignment or generational shift, now is probably a time to act. Our **governance and controls health check** surface hidden risks early and converts good intentions into a structure that potentially lasts.

Reach out to us today for a confidential, no-obligation conversation about where your governance stands and where it should be.

Contact us

Private Wealth Specialists



Edwin Leow

Co- Advisory Leader
Director, Head of Tax

edwinleow@sg.cla-ts.com



Shaun Zheng

Director, Tax

shaunzheng@sg.cla-ts.com



Else Guo

Manager, Tax

elseguo@sg.cla-ts.com

► T: (+65) 6534 5700
connect@sg.cla-ts.com
cla-ts.com



We have taken great care to ensure the accuracy of this newsletter. However, the newsletter is written in general terms, and you are strongly recommended to seek specific advice before taking any action based on the information it contains. No responsibility can be taken for any loss arising from action taken or refrained from on the basis of this publication.
© 2026 CLA Global TS. All rights reserved.

CLA Global TS is a trusted public accounting and Asia-focused business advisory firm. As an independent network member of CLA Global Limited (CLA Global), a leading global organization comprising independent accounting and advisory firms, CLA Global TS represents the network in Singapore, Southeast Asia, and China, serving as a key firm for CLA Global in Asia. Led by professionals with over 30 years of experience, CLA Global TS offers assurance, taxation, accounting, and a wide range of advisory services from locations in Singapore, China, and Malaysia.

We come to work every day with a singular purpose: to create winning opportunities for our People, our Clients, and our Communities.

CLA Global TS is an independent network member of CLA Global. See claglobal.com/legal-disclaimer.